# Economic Impacts of the Onshore Upstream Subsector of the Oil and Natural Gas Industry in Selected States in 2019

June 2021

Prepared for

American Petroleum Institute



## Table of Contents

Exe	cutive Summary	1
I.	Introduction	1
II.	Industry Definition	3
III.	Economic Impact of the Onshore Upstream Subsector	4
App	endix A: Impact of the Onshore Upstream Subsector in Selected States	6
App	endix B: Data Sources and Methodology	21

# Economic Impacts of the Onshore Upstream Subsector of the Oil and Natural Gas Industry in Selected States in **2019**

## **Executive Summary**

The American Petroleum Institute engaged PwC to quantify the economic impacts of the onshore upstream subsector of the oil and natural gas industry in 14 states: Alaska, California, Colorado, Louisiana, Montana, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Texas, Utah, West Virginia, and Wyoming. This report provides PwC's economic impact estimates for 2019.

The economic impacts are the result of three channels: direct impacts from the employment and production within the onshore upstream subsector in the 14 states; indirect impacts through the subsector's purchases of intermediate and capital goods from a variety of other US industries in these states; and induced impacts from the personal purchases of employees and business owners both within the subsector and its supply chain, as well as from the personal spending by shareholders out of the dividends received from onshore upstream oil and natural gas companies in these states.¹

As shown in **Table E-1**, below, the onshore upstream subsector of the oil and natural gas industry directly provided 690,500 jobs, paid out \$126.2 billion in labor income, and generated \$221.6 billion in value added in 2019 in the 14 states studied. Including direct, indirect, and induced impacts, the total impacts of this subsector on the 14 states were 3.2 million jobs, \$297.2 billion in labor income, and \$493.1 billion in value added.

E-1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These economic impacts represent the entire *backward linkages* of the onshore upstream subsector of the US oil and natural gas industry to its suppliers. They do not capture *forward linkages* (i.e., the economic impact on production in sectors that use oil and natural gas as an input).

Table E-1. The Economic Impact of the Onshore Upstream Subsector of the Oil and Natural Gas Industry in Selected States, 2019

(Thousands of jobs; Billions of dollars)

Ctata	Employ	ment <sup>(1)</sup>	Labor Ir	ncome <sup>(2)</sup>	Value Added	
State	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total
Alaska	9.3	28.6	\$1.7	\$2.9	\$5.1	\$7.3
California	29.1	399.4	\$2.8	\$34.1	\$7.0	\$58.0
Colorado	42.2	235.4	\$12.5	\$25.2	\$10.7	\$30.7
Louisiana	43.4	153.4	\$6.0	\$11.9	\$8.8	\$19.4
Montana	4.5	21.1	\$0.5	\$1.3	\$0.5	\$1.8
New Mexico	28.2	72.7	\$2.4	\$4.6	\$9.3	\$13.3
North Dakota	23.5	58.4	\$2.6	\$4.7	\$5.8	\$9.2
Ohio	17.5	146.0	\$0.8	\$9.2	\$9.9	\$23.9
Oklahoma	94.9	257.6	\$8.7	\$18.4	\$21.3	\$36.6
Pennsylvania	27.4	188.9	\$2.3	\$14.2	\$13.3	\$31.9
Texas	334.4	1,549.1	\$82.9	\$162.9	\$122.5	\$245.4
Utah	5.9	44.4	\$0.4	\$2.6	\$0.8	\$4.6
West Virginia	13.2	39.8	\$1.1	\$2.6	\$3.3	\$5.9
Wyoming	16.8	37.2	\$1.5	\$2.6	\$3.3	\$5.2
Subtotal	690.5	3,231.9	\$126.2	\$297.2	\$221.6	\$493.1

Source: PwC calculations based on the IMPLAN model and data from IHS Markit, Alaska's Department of Natural Resources, and the Energy Information Administration. Details may not add up to totals due to rounding.

(1) Employment is defined as the number of direct, indirect, and induced payroll and self-employed jobs, including

These results were calculated using the IMPLAN model, an input-output model based on government data.

<sup>(1)</sup> Employment is defined as the number of direct, indirect, and induced payroll and self-employed jobs, including part-time jobs.

<sup>(2)</sup> Labor income is defined as annual wages and salaries and benefits as well as proprietors' income.

# Economic Impacts of the Onshore Upstream Subsector of the Oil and Natural Gas Industry in Selected States in **2019**

#### I. Introduction

The American Petroleum Institute engaged PwC to quantify the economic impacts of the onshore upstream subsector of the US oil and natural gas industry in 14 selected states in terms of employment, labor income, and value added.<sup>2</sup> This report presents PwC's economic impact estimates of this subsector for 2019.

In describing the economic impact of the onshore upstream subsector of the US oil and natural gas industry through its employment and purchases of goods and services, this report considers three separate channels -- the direct impact, the indirect impact, and the induced impact -- that in aggregate provide a measure of the total economic impact of the onshore upstream subsector in the 14 states.

- **Direct impact** is measured as the jobs, labor income, and value added *within* the onshore upstream subsector in each of the 14 states.
- *Indirect impact* is measured as the jobs, labor income, and value added occurring in each of the 14 states from *the supply chains* of the onshore upstream subsector attributable to its operating and capital expenditures in all states.<sup>3</sup>
- *Induced impact* is measured as the jobs, labor income, and value added in each of the 14 states resulting from *household spending* of labor and proprietor's income earned either directly or indirectly from the onshore upstream subsector's spending and from the personal spending by shareholders out of the dividends received from onshore upstream oil and natural gas companies.

For the indirect and induced impacts, this study separately quantifies the onshore upstream subsector's **operational impact** (due to purchases of intermediate inputs and payments of employee compensation and dividends) and **capital investment impact** (due to its investment in new structures and equipment).<sup>4</sup>

Together these effects result in the onshore upstream subsector having a significant economic impact throughout all sectors of the 14 states studied.

The main data source for the onshore upstream subsector's **direct** jobs, labor income and value added is the *State Annual Personal Income and Employment* data set published by the US Bureau of Economic Analysis ("BEA"). The BEA data are supplemented by data from IHS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The 14 states are: Alaska, California, Colorado, Louisiana, Montana, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Texas, Utah, West Virginia, and Wyoming.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Operating expenditures are the costs on non-capital inputs (such as materials, rent, and utilities) for a company to run its business operations on a daily basis. Capital expenditures are the amounts that companies use to purchase major physical goods or services that will have a productive life of more than one year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> These economic impacts represent all of the *backward linkages* of the onshore upstream subsector to its suppliers in the 14 states. They do not capture *forward linkages* (i.e., the economic impact on production in sectors that use oil and natural gas as an input).

Markit, Alaska's Department of Natural Resources, and the US Energy Information Administration.

For the subsector's **indirect** and **induced** economic impacts, we have developed the estimates using customized input-output models for each study area built based on the IMPLAN input-output modeling system.<sup>5</sup>

The rest of this report is organized as follows. **Section II** defines the onshore upstream subsector of the oil and natural gas industry for this study. **Section III** presents PwC's estimates of the economic impacts of the industry's onshore upstream subsector in 14 states in 2019.

<sup>5</sup> The IMPLAN input-output economic modeling system is supported by the IMPLAN Group LLC. Its users include academia, federal, state, and local governments, and the private sector.

## II. Industry Definition

**Table 1**, below, shows the composition of the onshore upstream subsector of the US oil and natural gas industry as defined by PwC, followed by detailed descriptions based on the *North American Industry Classification System* ("NAICS").

Table 1.- Composition of the Onshore Upstream Subsector

NAICS	IMPLAN Sector	Description
211	20	Oil and gas extraction (including NGL extraction)
213111	35	Drilling oil and gas wells
213112	36	Support activities for oil and gas operations

*NAICS 211. Oil and gas extraction.* Establishments in this subsector operate and/or develop oil and gas field properties. Such activities may include exploration for crude petroleum and natural gas; drilling, completing, and equipping wells; operating separators, emulsion breakers, desilting equipment, and field gathering lines for crude petroleum and natural gas; and all other activities in the preparation of oil and gas up to the point of shipment from the producing property. This subsector includes the production of crude petroleum, the mining and extraction of oil from oil shale and oil sands, and the production of natural gas, sulfur recovery from natural gas, and recovery of hydrocarbon liquids. Establishments in this subsector include those that operate oil and gas wells on their own account or for others on a contract or fee basis.

*NAICS 213111. Drilling oil and gas wells.* This subsector comprises establishments primarily engaged in drilling oil and gas wells for others on a contract or fee basis. This industry includes contractors that specialize in spudding, drilling, redrilling, and directional drilling.

**NAICS 213112. Support activities for oil and gas operations.** This subsector comprises establishments primarily engaged in performing support activities on a contract or fee basis for oil and gas operations (except site preparation and related construction activities). Services included are exploration (except geophysical surveying and mapping); excavating slush pits and cellars, well surveying; running, cutting, and pulling casings, tubes, and rods; cementing wells, shooting wells; perforating well casings; acidizing and chemically treating wells; and cleaning out, bailing, and swabbing wells.

### III. Economic Impact of the Onshore Upstream Subsector

This section presents the estimated economic impact of the onshore upstream subsector of US the oil and natural gas industry in 14 selected states: Alaska, California, Colorado, Louisiana, Montana, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Texas, Utah, West Virginia, and Wyoming. Economic impacts to states other than these 14 selected states from the onshore upstream subsector's activities are excluded from the analysis.

The total economic impact we have measured includes the *direct impact* (the jobs, labor income, and value added *within* the onshore upstream subsector), the *indirect impact* (the jobs, labor income, and value added occurring *throughout the supply chain* of the onshore upstream subsector), and the *induced impact* (the jobs, labor income, and value added resulting from *household spending* of labor and proprietor's income earned either directly or indirectly from the onshore upstream subsector's spending and from dividends received from onshore upstream oil and natural gas companies).

To quantify these linkages, we rely on the IMPLAN model, an input-output (I-O) model based on government data. For this analysis, we have separately quantified the indirect and induced impacts of the onshore upstream subsector's **operational** and **capital spending**. Operating expenditures are the costs on non-capital inputs (such as materials, rent, and utilities) for a company to run its business operations on a daily basis. Capital expenditures are the amounts that companies use to invest in major physical goods or services that have a productive life of more than one year.

As shown in **Table 2**, below, the onshore upstream subsector of the oil and natural gas industry directly provided 690,500 jobs, paid out \$126.2 billion in labor income, and generated \$221.6 billion in value added in 2019 in the 14 states studied, with Texas receiving nearly half of the direct employment impact, 66 percent of the direct labor income impact, and 55 percent of the direct value added impact.

Including the indirect and induced impacts, the total impacts of the onshore upstream subsector of the oil and natural gas industry on the 14 states were 3.2 million jobs, \$297.2 billion in labor income, and \$493.1 billion in value added.

Table 2. The Economic Impact of the Onshore Upstream Subsector of the Oil and Natural Gas Industry in Selected States, 2019

(Thousands of jobs; Billions of dollars)

Ct-t-	Employr	nent <sup>(1)</sup>	Labor In	come <sup>(2)</sup> Value		dded
State	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total
Alaska	9.3	28.6	\$1.7	\$2.9	\$5.1	\$7.3
California	29.1	399.4	\$2.8	\$34.1	\$7.0	\$58.0
Colorado	42.2	235.4	\$12.5	\$25.2	\$10.7	\$30.7
Louisiana	43.4	153.4	\$6.0	\$11.9	\$8.8	\$19.4
Montana	4.5	21.1	\$0.5	\$1.3	\$0.5	\$1.8
New Mexico	28.2	72.7	\$2.4	\$4.6	\$9.3	\$13.3
North Dakota	23.5	58.4	\$2.6	\$4.7	\$5.8	\$9.2
Ohio	17.5	146.0	\$0.8	\$9.2	\$9.9	\$23.9
Oklahoma	94.9	257.6	\$8.7	\$18.4	\$21.3	\$36.6
Pennsylvania	27.4	188.9	\$2.3	\$14.2	\$13.3	\$31.9
Texas	334.4	1,549.1	\$82.9	\$162.9	\$122.5	\$245.4
Utah	5.9	44.4	\$0.4	\$2.6	\$0.8	\$4.6
West Virginia	13.2	39.8	\$1.1	\$2.6	\$3.3	\$5.9
Wyoming	16.8	37.2	\$1.5	\$2.6	\$3.3	\$5.2
Subtotal	690.5	3,231.9	\$126.2	\$297.2	\$221.6	\$493.1

Source: PwC calculations based on the IMPLAN model and data from IHS Markit, Alaska's Department of Natural Resources, and the Energy Information Administration. Details may not add up to totals due to rounding.

(1) Employment is defined as the number of direct, indirect, and induced payroll and self-employed jobs, including

<sup>(1)</sup> Employment is defined as the number of direct, indirect, and induced payroll and self-employed jobs, including part-time jobs.

<sup>(2)</sup> Labor income is defined as annual wages and salaries and benefits as well as proprietors' income.

# Appendix A: Impact of the Onshore Upstream Subsector in Selected States

This Appendix provides the detailed results of the onshore upstream subsector of the US oil and natural gas industry in 14 states: Alaska, California, Colorado, Louisiana, Montana, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Texas, Utah, West Virginia, and Wyoming.

The Economic Impact of the Ons	hore Upstr	eam Subse	ctor in Alas	ka, 2019	
Sector Description	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Total	As a % of State Total
E	mploymen	t*			Otato Potar
Industry Direct Impact	9,310			9,310	2.0%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		3,140	7,870	11,010	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		1,310	1,390	2,700	
Wholesale and retail trade		390	2,280	2,670	
Transportation and warehousing		300	490	790	
Construction		450	110	560	
Government		120	330	450	
Manufacturing		90	250	340	
Information		120	190	310	
Agriculture		10	150	160	
Mining		120	30	150	
Utilities		70	70	140	
Total Impact on Employment	9,310	6,130	13,160	28,600	6.2%
	come** (\$		-,	.,	
Industry Direct Impact	\$1,714			\$1,714	5.2%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries	τ,			* /	
Services		\$225	\$441	\$666	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$75	\$62	\$137	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$29	\$94	\$123	
Transportation and warehousing		\$24	\$29	\$53	
Construction		\$39	\$9	\$48	
Government		\$12	\$33	\$45	
Information		\$10	\$17	\$27	
Manufacturing		\$7	\$16	\$22	
Utilities		\$10	\$9	\$19	
Mining		\$9	\$2	\$11	
Agriculture		 \$1	<u>Ψ2</u> \$6	\$6	
	\$1,714	\$440	\$716	\$2,871	8.8%
Total Impact on Labor Income	Added (\$ M	-	φ/10	ΨΖ,071	0.076
	\$5,100	iiiioiis)		\$5.100	9.4%
Industry Direct Impact	<b>Ψ</b> 3,100			\$5,100	<b>3.4</b> /0
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries Services		\$263	\$516	\$779	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$195	\$371	\$566	
		\$293	\$66	\$359	
Transportation and warehousing Wholesale and retail trade		\$70	\$152	\$221	
		\$37			
Manufacturing			\$30	\$67	
Government Utilities		\$15 \$27	\$42	\$56 \$52	
		\$27	\$25	\$52 \$51	
Information		\$20	\$32	\$51	
Construction		\$38	\$10	\$49	
Mining		\$32	\$8	\$39	
Agriculture	A =	\$1	\$8	\$8	
Total Impact on Value Added	\$5,100	\$990	\$1,259	\$7,348	13.5%

The Economic Impact of the Onshore Unstream Subsector in California, 2010

The Economic Impact of the Onsh	ore Upstrea	ım Subsect	or in Califo	rnia, 2019	
Sector Description	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Total	As a % of State Total
E	mploymen	t*			Otate Potar
Industry Direct Impact	29,110	<del>-</del>		29,110	0.1%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries	•			•	
Services		76,930	127,610	204,540	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		20,270	25,230	45,500	
Wholesale and retail trade		8,240	28,700	36,940	
Manufacturing		14,900	11,200	26,100	
Transportation and warehousing		8,760	13,580	22,340	
Construction		12,340	1,570	13,910	
Information		3,440	6,130	9,570	
Agriculture		770	5,640	6,410	
Government		980	2,370	3,350	
Utilities		620	790	1,410	
Mining		190	50	240	
Total Impact on Employment	29,110	147,440	222,880	399,430	1.6%
Labor In	come** (\$ I	Millions)			
Industry Direct Impact	\$2,846			\$2,846	0.2%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$8,378	\$7,533	\$15,911	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$2,125	\$2,026	\$4,151	
Manufacturing		\$1,652	\$1,003	\$2,655	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$771	\$1,694	\$2,465	
Information		\$928	\$1,422	\$2,350	
Transportation and warehousing		\$681	\$911	\$1,592	
Construction		\$952	\$119	\$1,071	
Government		\$117	\$276	\$393	
Agriculture		\$40	\$319	\$359	
Utilities		\$146	\$177	\$323	
Mining		\$13	\$3	\$16	
Total Impact on Labor Income	\$2,846	\$15,803	\$15,482	\$34,131	1.8%
	Added (\$ M	illions)			
Industry Direct Impact	\$7,013			\$7,013	0.2%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries		<u> </u>	<b></b>	*	
Services		\$9,325	\$9,215	\$18,540	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$4,297	\$7,783	\$12,079	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$1,772	\$3,262	\$5,034	
Manufacturing		\$2,875	\$2,127	\$5,002	
Information		\$1,799	\$2,783	\$4,582	
Transportation and warehousing		\$1,152	\$1,402	\$2,554	
Construction		\$1,051	\$171	\$1,222	
Utilities		\$418	\$495	\$913	
Government		\$151	\$371	\$521	
Agriculture		\$53	\$444	\$497	
Mining	<b>67.040</b>	\$32	\$8	\$40	4.007
Total Impact on Value Added	\$7,013	\$22,924	\$28,061	\$57,997	1.9%

The Economic Impact of the Onsh	ore Upstre	am Subsect	or in Colora	ado, 2019	
Sector Description	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Total	As a % of State Total
E	mploymen	t*			
Industry Direct Impact	42,180			42,180	1.1%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		39,320	70,270	109,590	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		11,990	19,520	31,510	
Wholesale and retail trade		3,550	19,450	23,000	
Transportation and warehousing		2,890	5,980	8,870	
Manufacturing		2,930	2,370	5,300	
Construction		3,550	1,260	4,810	
Information		1,530	2,810	4,340	
Government		850	2,400	3,250	
Agriculture		90	1,330	1,420	
Utilities		400	390	790	
Mining		240	60	300	
Total Impact on Employment	42,180	67,350	125,840	235,370	6.0%
• • •	come** (\$ I		,	•	
Industry Direct Impact	\$12,521	,		\$12,521	4.9%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries	. ,			. ,	
Services		\$3,627	\$3,596	\$7,223	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$793	\$943	\$1,737	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$332	\$908	\$1,240	
Transportation and warehousing		\$576	\$301	\$877	
Manufacturing		\$267	\$163	\$430	
Information		\$139	\$232	\$371	
Construction		\$239	\$84	\$323	
Government		\$67	\$186	\$253	
Utilities		\$61	\$59	\$121	
Mining		\$38	\$5	\$43	
Agriculture		\$2	\$31	\$33	
Total Impact on Labor Income	\$12,521	\$6,141	\$6,509	\$25,171	9.9%
	Added (\$ M		ψο,σσσ	Ψ20,171	0.070
Industry Direct Impact	\$10,689	iniono,		\$10,689	2.7%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries	<b>ψ10,000</b>			<b>ψ.10,000</b>	2,0
Services		\$4,155	\$4,441	\$8,597	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$1,590	\$3,502	\$5,092	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$627	\$1,580	\$2,207	
Transportation and warehousing		\$770	\$454	\$1,224	
Information		\$322	\$560	\$882	
Manufacturing		\$476	\$319	\$795	
Construction		\$275	\$114	\$389	
Utilities		\$186	\$177	\$363	
Government		\$84	\$236	\$320	
Agriculture		φο <del>4</del> \$4	\$64	\$68	
Mining		\$45	\$10	\$55	
	¢10 600				7 00/
Total Impact on Value Added	\$10,689	\$8,533	\$11,457	\$30,679	7.8%

The Economic Impact of the Onshore Unstream Subsector in Louisiana, 2010

The Economic Impact of the Onsh	ore Upstrea	ım Subsect	or in Louisi	ana, 2019	A 0/ f
Sector Description	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Total	As a % of State Total
E	mploymen	t*			Olato Folai
Industry Direct Impact	43,420			43,420	1.6%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries	<u> </u>				
Services		23,320	37,900	61,220	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		9,590	7,810	17,400	
Wholesale and retail trade		2,900	10,370	13,270	
Transportation and warehousing		2,450	2,540	4,990	
Manufacturing		3,080	1,390	4,470	
Construction		3,170	640	3,810	
Information		770	880	1,650	
Government		550	1,030	1,580	
Agriculture		110	810	920	
Utilities		320	210	530	
Mining		140	20	160	
Total Impact on Employment	43,420	46,400	63,580	153,400	5.6%
	come** (\$	Millions)		,	
Industry Direct Impact	\$6,043	,		\$6,043	4.1%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries				. ,	
Services		\$1,414	\$1,645	\$3,059	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$541	\$306	\$847	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$230	\$400	\$630	
Manufacturing		\$341	\$112	\$452	
Transportation and warehousing		\$172	\$117	\$288	
Construction		\$191	\$38	\$229	
Government		\$40	\$75	\$115	
Information		\$53	\$60	\$114	
Utilities		\$42	\$27	\$70	
Agriculture		\$3	\$21	\$24	
Mining		\$13	\$1	\$14	
Total Impact on Labor Income	\$6,043	\$3,041	\$2,801	\$11,884	8.0%
	Added (\$ M		<u> </u>	. ,	
Industry Direct Impact	\$8,803	,		\$8,803	3.4%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries	<u> </u>				
Services		\$1,617	\$1,989	\$3,606	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$1,347	\$1,445	\$2,792	
Manufacturing		\$1,148	\$386	\$1,534	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$483	\$741	\$1,224	
Transportation and warehousing		\$264	\$149	\$413	
Utilities		\$179	\$115	\$294	
Information		\$120	\$138	\$258	
Construction		\$196	\$45	\$241	
Government		\$49	\$92	\$141	
Agriculture		\$4	\$28	\$31	
Mining		\$26	\$3	\$28	
Total Impact on Value Added	\$8,803	\$5,432	\$5,132	\$19,367	7.5%
	. , ,	. ,	. ,	. ,	

The Economic Impact of the Onshor	e Upstre	am Subsect	or in Mont	ana, 2019	
Sector Description	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Total	As a % of State Total
Emj	ploymen	t*			
Industry Direct Impact	4,510			4,510	0.6%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		3,520	5,440	8,960	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		1,210	1,260	2,470	
Wholesale and retail trade		460	1,600	2,060	
Construction		600	100	700	
Transportation and warehousing		340	340	680	
Manufacturing		280	250	530	
Agriculture		50	450	500	
Information		100	120	220	
Government		80	140	220	
Mining		100	30	130	
Utilities		60	40	100	
Total Impact on Employment	4,510	6,800	9,780	21,100	3.0%
Labor Inco	-	•	,		
Industry Direct Impact	\$460	,		\$460	1.4%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$202	\$237	\$439	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$66	\$48	\$115	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$31	\$63	\$95	
Transportation and warehousing		\$25	\$17	\$43	
Construction		\$33	\$6	\$38	
Manufacturing		\$20	\$13	\$32	
Information		\$8	\$9	\$17	
Government		\$5	\$10	\$15	
Utilities		\$8	\$6	\$13	
Agriculture		\$2	\$11	\$13	
Mining		\$9	\$3	\$12	
Total Impact on Labor Income	\$460	\$409	\$423	\$1,292	3.9%
Value Ad			Ψ.Ξυ	Ψ.,===	0.070
Industry Direct Impact	\$459			\$459	0.9%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries	<b>V</b> 100			<b>V</b> 100	0.070
Services		\$223	\$283	\$505	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$140	\$205	\$345	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$63	\$93	\$156	
Transportation and warehousing		\$51	\$26	\$78	
Manufacturing		\$46	\$28	\$75	
Construction		\$34	\$7	\$41	
Utilities		\$23	<u>Ψ</u> 7	\$40	
Mining		\$25	\$8	\$33	
Information		\$14	\$17	\$32	
Agriculture		\$3	\$25	\$28	
Government		\$7	\$13	\$20	
	\$459				2 40/
Total Impact on Value Added Source: PwC calculations based on the IMPLAN modeling system		\$630	\$721	\$1,810	3.4%

The Economic Impact of the Onshore Unstream Subsector in New Mexico, 2010

The Economic Impact of the Onsh	ore Opstreal	m Subsecto	r in New Me	exico, 2019	
Sector Description	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Total	As a % of State Total
	Employmen	t*			Otato Fotal
Industry Direct Impact	28,230			28,230	2.5%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		8,930	15,700	24,630	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		4,330	2,870	7,200	
Wholesale and retail trade		1,380	4,350	5,730	
Transportation and warehousing		1,000	800	1,800	
Construction		1,420	250	1,670	
Manufacturing		550	410	960	
Government		310	530	840	
Information		320	310	630	
Agriculture		50	510	560	
Utilities		180	120	300	
Mining		120	20	140	
Total Impact on Employment	28,230	18,590	25,860	72,680	6.4%
Labor I	ncome** (\$	Millions)			
Industry Direct Impact	\$2,441			\$2,441	4.1%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$517	\$653	\$1,171	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$227	\$109	\$336	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$85	\$151	\$236	
Transportation and warehousing		\$68	\$36	\$105	
Construction		\$75	\$13	\$88	
Government		\$23	\$40	\$63	
Manufacturing		\$30	\$20	\$50	
Information		\$21	\$22	\$43	
Utilities		\$20	\$13	\$33	
Agriculture		\$1	\$15	\$16	
Mining		\$10	\$2	\$12	
Total Impact on Labor Income	\$2,441	\$1,080	\$1,074	\$4,594	7.8%
Value	Added (\$ M	lillions)			
Industry Direct Impact	\$9,299			\$9,299	8.8%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$676	\$829	\$1,505	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$552	\$634	\$1,186	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$194	\$261	\$455	
Transportation and warehousing		\$117	\$51	\$169	
Manufacturing		\$93	\$48	\$141	
Government		\$46	\$82	\$128	
Utilities		\$66	\$41	\$107	
Construction		\$87	\$17	\$104	
Information		\$43	\$48	\$91	
Mining		\$44	\$7	\$51	
Agriculture		\$2	\$22	\$24	
Total Impact on Value Added	\$9,299	\$1,921	\$2,041	\$13,260	12.6%

The Economic Impact of the Onshore Unstream Subsector in North Dakota, 2010

The Economic Impact of the Onshor	re Upstrean	1 Subsector	in North D	akota, 201	
Sector Description	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Total	As a % of State Total
E	mploymen	t*			Olato Folai
Industry Direct Impact	23,530			23,530	4.0%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries				<u> </u>	
Services		6,610	11,180	17,790	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		3,660	2,670	6,330	
Wholesale and retail trade		1,240	3,840	5,080	
Transportation and warehousing		1,010	810	1,820	
Construction		960	170	1,130	
Manufacturing		500	290	790	
Government		190	410	600	
Information		270	260	530	
Agriculture		40	430	470	
Utilities		150	100	250	
Mining		90	10	100	
Total Impact on Employment	23,530	14,730	20,190	58,440	10.0%
	ncome** (\$	Millions)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Industry Direct Impact	\$2,613	,		\$2,613	7.6%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries	. ,				
Services		\$435	\$532	\$967	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$243	\$128	\$371	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$109	\$152	\$261	
Transportation and warehousing		\$101	\$53	\$154	
Construction		\$69	\$12	\$81	
Manufacturing		\$38	\$20	\$58	
Information		\$24	\$22	\$46	
Government		\$13	\$28	\$41	
Utilities		\$22	\$15	\$37	
Agriculture		\$2	\$21	\$24	
Mining		\$7	\$1	\$9	
Total Impact on Labor Income	\$2,613	\$1,063	\$986	\$4,663	13.6%
•	Added (\$ M		,	, ,,	
Industry Direct Impact	\$5,848			\$5,848	10.2%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$500	\$631	\$1,131	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$491	\$457	\$948	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$218	\$241	\$459	
Transportation and warehousing		\$170	\$79	\$249	
Manufacturing Garage		\$86	\$40	\$125	
Construction		\$85	\$17	\$102	
Utilities		\$60	\$41	\$102	
Information		\$42	\$39	\$81	
Government		\$16	\$34	\$49	
Agriculture		\$4	\$39	\$43	
Mining		\$21	\$3	\$24	
Total Impact on Value Added	\$5,848	\$1,693	\$1,620	\$9,161	16.0%
	, - ,		, ,		2.276

The Economic Impact of the Onshore Unstream Subsector in Ohio, 2010

The Economic Impact of the Or	ishore Upst	ream Subse	ector in Ohi	0, 2019	A 0/ 6
Sector Description	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Total	As a % of State Total
E	Employmen	t*			
Industry Direct Impact	17,500			17,500	0.2%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		28,510	41,050	69,560	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		6,790	7,890	14,680	
Manufacturing		9,550	4,990	14,540	
Wholesale and retail trade		3,560	10,120	13,680	
Transportation and warehousing		2,850	3,510	6,360	
Construction		3,880	530	4,410	
Information		810	1,130	1,940	
Agriculture		140	1,200	1,340	
Government		450	820	1,270	
Utilities		280	240	520	
Mining		110	30	140	
Total Impact on Employment	17,500	56,940	71,510	145,950	2.0%
Labor II	ncome** (\$	Millions)			
Industry Direct Impact	\$771			\$771	0.2%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$2,300	\$2,094	\$4,393	
Manufacturing		\$806	\$377	\$1,183	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$491	\$426	\$917	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$294	\$468	\$762	
Transportation and warehousing		\$306	\$196	\$502	
Construction		\$248	\$33	\$281	
Information		\$79	\$104	\$183	
Government		\$37	\$68	\$105	
Utilities		\$43	\$35	\$78	
Agriculture		\$5	\$27	\$32	
Mining		\$16	\$5	\$21	
Total Impact on Labor Income	\$771	\$4,624	\$3,833	\$9,228	2.2%
Value	Added (\$ M	lillions)			
Industry Direct Impact	\$9,882			\$9,882	1.4%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$2,619	\$2,544	\$5,163	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$1,370	\$1,964	\$3,334	
Manufacturing		\$1,452	\$771	\$2,222	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$581	\$851	\$1,432	
Transportation and warehousing		\$439	\$259	\$697	
Information		\$158	\$214	\$372	
Construction		\$257	\$44	\$301	
Utilities		\$164	\$133	\$296	
Government		\$50	\$95	\$144	
Agriculture		\$6	\$40	\$46	
Mining		\$27	\$5	\$32	
		\$7,123	\$6,919	\$23,923	3.4%

The Economic Impact of the Onshore Unstream Subsector in Oklahoma, 2010

The Economic Impact of the Onsh	ore Upstrea	ım Subsect	or in Oklaho	oma, 2019	A = = 0/ = £
Sector Description	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Total	As a % of State Total
E	mploymen	t*			
Industry Direct Impact	94,930			94,930	4.1%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		38,120	47,480	85,600	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		18,060	11,480	29,540	
Wholesale and retail trade		5,390	15,330	20,720	
Transportation and warehousing		3,950	3,100	7,050	
Manufacturing		3,650	1,460	5,110	
Construction		3,710	850	4,560	
Government		1,750	1,840	3,590	
Information		1,680	1,230	2,910	
Agriculture		110	1,480	1,590	
Utilities		1,170	410	1,580	
Mining		350	40	390	
Total Impact on Employment	94,930	77,930	84,700	257,570	11.0%
Labor II	ncome** (\$ .	Millions)			
Industry Direct Impact	\$8,683			\$8,683	6.7%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$2,307	\$2,095	\$4,402	
Transportation and warehousing		\$1,360	\$206	\$1,566	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$927	\$419	\$1,346	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$395	\$539	\$935	
Manufacturing		\$333	\$104	\$437	
Construction		\$200	\$46	\$246	
Government		\$119	\$126	\$245	
Information		\$143	\$95	\$238	
Utilities		\$159	\$59	\$217	
Mining		\$50	\$9	\$58	
Agriculture		\$2	\$21	\$23	
Total Impact on Labor Income	\$8,683	\$5,994	\$3,719	\$18,395	14.2%
Value :	Added (\$ M	lillions)			
Industry Direct Impact	\$21,303			\$21,303	10.5%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$2,775	\$2,528	\$5,304	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$2,004	\$1,799	\$3,803	
Transportation and warehousing		\$1,672	\$279	\$1,952	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$840	\$922	\$1,762	
Manufacturing		\$540	\$184	\$724	
Utilities		\$408	\$147	\$555	
Information		\$270	\$198	\$468	
Government		\$163	\$175	\$338	
Construction		\$209	\$52	\$261	
Mining		\$63	\$7	\$70	
Agriculture		\$3	\$49	\$53	
Total Impact on Value Added	\$21,303	\$8,948	\$6,341	\$36,592	18.1%

The Economic Impact of the Onshore Unstream Subsector in Pennsylvania, 2010

The Economic Impact of the Onsho	re Upstrean	1 Subsector	in Pennsyl	vania, 2019	
Sector Description	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Total	As a % of State Total
E	Employmen	t*			Otato Fotal
Industry Direct Impact	27,420			27,420	0.3%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		30,910	58,490	89,400	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		10,070	11,580	21,650	
Wholesale and retail trade		3,590	13,720	17,310	
Manufacturing		8,530	4,900	13,430	
Transportation and warehousing		3,400	5,180	8,580	
Construction		4,540	700	5,240	
Information		780	1,250	2,030	
Government		480	1,050	1,530	
Agriculture		140	1,090	1,230	
Utilities		360	340	700	
Mining		290	80	370	
Total Impact on Employment	27,420	63,070	98,390	188,880	2.4%
Labor II	ncome** (\$ I	Millions)			
Industry Direct Impact	\$2,281			\$2,281	0.4%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$2,841	\$3,308	\$6,149	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$851	\$733	\$1,584	
Manufacturing		\$754	\$385	\$1,140	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$313	\$641	\$954	
Transportation and warehousing		\$509	\$315	\$825	
Information		\$290	\$351	\$641	
Construction		\$322	\$49	\$371	
Government		\$42	\$92	\$135	
Utilities		\$61	\$55	\$115	
Agriculture		\$6	\$25	\$30	
Mining		\$17	\$4	\$21	
Total Impact on Labor Income	\$2,281	\$6,006	\$5,958	\$14,244	2.8%
Value	Added (\$ M	illions)			
Industry Direct Impact	\$13,313			\$13,313	1.6%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$3,208	\$3,913	\$7,120	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$1,716	\$2,588	\$4,303	
Manufacturing		\$1,273	\$744	\$2,017	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$641	\$1,100	\$1,741	
Transportation and warehousing		\$863	\$396	\$1,259	
Information		\$440	\$604	\$1,044	
Construction		\$349	\$66	\$415	
Utilities		\$194	\$173	\$367	
Government		\$56	\$126	\$182	
Mining		\$68	\$17	\$85	
Agriculture		\$7	\$45	\$52	

The Economic Impact of the Onshore Unstream Subsector in Texas, 2010

The Economic Impact of the On	shore Upsti	ream Subse	ctor in Tex	as, 2019	A 0/ f
Sector Description	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Total	As a % of State Total
E	mploymen	t*		<u> </u>	otato rotar
Industry Direct Impact	334,410			334,410	1.9%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		239,050	427,810	666,860	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		84,480	113,590	198,070	
Wholesale and retail trade		26,330	129,070	155,400	
Transportation and warehousing		26,530	36,650	63,180	
Manufacturing		25,840	16,420	42,260	
Construction		20,290	6,950	27,240	
Information		9,500	14,750	24,250	
Government		5,400	13,220	18,620	
Agriculture		670	10,110	10,780	
Utilities		3,610	2,760	6,370	
Mining		1,390	260	1,650	
Total Impact on Employment	334,410	443,100	771,610	1,549,110	8.6%
Labor Ir	ncome** (\$	Millions)			
Industry Direct Impact	\$82,887			\$82,887	7.2%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$19,279	\$21,003	\$40,282	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$5,987	\$6,298	\$12,286	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$2,446	\$5,943	\$8,389	
Transportation and warehousing		\$5,951	\$1,894	\$7,845	
Manufacturing		\$2,803	\$1,262	\$4,065	
Information		\$952	\$1,429	\$2,382	
Construction		\$1,391	\$472	\$1,863	
Government		\$417	\$1,015	\$1,432	
Utilities		\$659	\$501	\$1,160	
Mining		\$197	\$25	\$222	
Agriculture		\$12	\$118	\$129	
Total Impact on Labor Income	\$82,887	\$40,094	\$39,960	\$162,942	14.1%
	Added (\$ M	lillions)			
Industry Direct Impact	\$122,457			\$122,457	6.6%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$21,846	\$24,686	\$46,533	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$12,391	\$19,913	\$32,304	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$5,177	\$10,213	\$15,390	
Manufacturing		\$6,573	\$2,888	\$9,461	
Transportation and warehousing		\$4,337	\$2,315	\$6,652	
Information		\$1,777	\$2,805	\$4,581	
Utilities		\$1,906	\$1,436	\$3,343	
Construction		\$1,507	\$583	\$2,090	
Government		\$523	\$1,283	\$1,806	
Mining		\$391	\$59	\$451	
Agriculture		\$19	\$284	\$303	
Total Impact on Value Added	\$122,457	\$56,448	\$66,466	\$245,371	13.3%

The Economic Impact of the Onshore Unstream Subsector in Utah. 2010

The Economic Impact of the On	shore Upst	ream Subse	ector in Utal	h, 2019	
Sector Description	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Total	As a % of State Total
	mploymen	t*			Otate Potar
Industry Direct Impact	5,920			5,920	0.3%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries	<u> </u>			<u>,                                      </u>	
Services		9,210	11,030	20,240	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		2,700	3,000	5,700	
Wholesale and retail trade		930	3,250	4,180	
Manufacturing		1,600	1,130	2,730	
Transportation and warehousing		830	1,060	1,890	
Construction		1,480	200	1,680	
Information		410	600	1,010	
Government		150	280	430	
Agriculture		30	300	330	
Mining		120	30	150	
Utilities		70	60	130	
Total Impact on Employment	5,920	17,540	20,950	44,410	2.1%
Labor Ir	ncome** (\$ I	Millions)			
Industry Direct Impact	\$365			\$365	0.3%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$638	\$505	\$1,143	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$153	\$127	\$281	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$78	\$162	\$240	
Manufacturing		\$124	\$74	\$199	
Transportation and warehousing		\$62	\$68	\$130	
Construction		\$91	\$12	\$103	
Information		\$39	\$55	\$94	
Government		\$11	\$21	\$32	
Utilities		\$12	\$10	\$22	
Mining		\$7	\$2	\$9	
Agriculture		\$1	\$5	\$6	
Total Impact on Labor Income	\$365	\$1,217	\$1,041	\$2,623	2.3%
Value A	Added (\$ M	lillions)			
Industry Direct Impact	\$819			\$819	0.4%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$725	\$628	\$1,352	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$396	\$547	\$943	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$147	\$274	\$422	
Manufacturing		\$231	\$133	\$364	
Transportation and warehousing		\$95	\$102	\$198	
Information		\$76	\$103	\$179	
Construction		\$112	\$19	\$131	
Utilities		\$38	\$33	\$71	
Government		\$16	\$30	\$46	
Mining		\$26	\$8	\$34	
Agriculture		\$1	\$14	\$15	
Total Impact on Value Added	\$819	\$1,864	\$1,892	\$4,574	2.4%

The Economic Impact of the Onshore Unstream Subsector in West Virginia, 2010

The Economic Impact of the Onshor	re Upstrean	1 Subsector	in West Vir	ginia, 201	
Sector Description	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Total	As a % of State Total
E	mploymen	t*			Otato Fotal
Industry Direct Impact	13,180			13,180	1.5%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		6,190	8,620	14,810	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		2,330	1,290	3,620	
Wholesale and retail trade		840	2,330	3,170	
Transportation and warehousing		880	500	1,380	
Manufacturing		770	390	1,160	
Construction		760	110	870	
Agriculture		40	360	400	
Government		150	240	390	
Information		190	140	330	
Mining		240	70	310	
Utilities		110	70	180	
Total Impact on Employment	13,180	12,500	14,100	39,790	4.4%
Labor Ir	ncome** (\$ I	Millions)			
Industry Direct Impact	\$1,060			\$1,060	2.3%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$423	\$400	\$823	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$146	\$57	\$203	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$57	\$80	\$137	
Transportation and warehousing		\$86	\$26	\$112	
Manufacturing		\$66	\$28	\$94	
Construction		\$47	\$7	\$54	
Mining		\$22	\$7	\$29	
Government		\$10	\$16	\$26	
Utilities		\$16	\$9	\$25	
Information		\$14	\$10	\$24	
Agriculture		\$1	\$1	\$2	
Total Impact on Labor Income	\$1,060	\$889	\$641	\$2,590	5.5%
Value .	Added (\$ M	illions)			
Industry Direct Impact	\$3,328			\$3,328	4.2%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries					
Services		\$452	\$472	\$924	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$372	\$296	\$669	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$134	\$151	\$285	
Manufacturing		\$133	\$59	\$192	
Transportation and warehousing		\$152	\$35	\$187	
Mining		\$65	\$19	\$85	
Utilities		\$48	\$29	\$77	
Construction		\$60	\$10	\$70	
Information		\$28	\$21	\$49	
Government		\$13	\$22	\$35	
Agriculture		\$1	\$4	\$5	
Total Impact on Value Added	\$3,328	\$1,460	\$1,118	\$5,907	7.5%

The Economic Impact of the Onshor	e Upstrea	ım Subsect	or in Wyon	ing, 2019	
Sector Description	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Total	As a % of State Total
Em	ployment	<b>(</b> *			Otato Potar
Industry Direct Impact	16,830			16,830	4.1%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries	•				
Services		4,420	5,120	9,540	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		3,130	1,810	4,940	
Wholesale and retail trade		800	1,730	2,530	
Transportation and warehousing		520	340	860	
Construction		720	120	840	
Manufacturing		280	120	400	
Government		150	220	370	
Agriculture		30	260	290	
Information		160	110	270	
Mining		160	40	200	
Utilities		90	50	140	
Total Impact on Employment	16,830	10,460	9,920	37,210	9.0%
Labor Inco	-	•	-,-	- , -	
Industry Direct Impact	\$1,487	,		\$1,487	6.3%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries	* , -			+ / -	
Services		\$233	\$203	\$436	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$119	\$52	\$171	
Transportation and warehousing		\$135	\$27	\$162	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$63	\$59	\$122	
Construction		\$43	\$7	\$50	
Manufacturing		\$26	\$8	\$34	
Government		\$11	\$17	\$28	
Mining		\$21	\$6	\$26	
Utilities		\$12	\$7	\$19	
Information		\$11	\$8	\$19	
Agriculture		\$1	\$5	\$5	
Total Impact on Labor Income	\$1,487	\$673	\$398	\$2,558	10.9%
	Ided (\$ M		ΨΟΟΟ	ΨΣ,000	10.570
Industry Direct Impact	\$3,258	11110110)		\$3,258	8.1%
Indirect/Induced Impacts on Other Industries	ψ0,200			Ψ0,200	0.170
Services		\$285	\$253	\$538	
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental and leasing		\$279	\$248	\$527	
Transportation and warehousing		\$242	\$45	\$287	
Wholesale and retail trade		\$119	\$102	\$220	
Mining		\$61	\$17	\$78	
Manufacturing		\$54	\$18	\$72	
Construction		\$57	\$11	\$68	
Utilities		\$37	\$20	\$57	
Information		\$23	\$16	\$39	
Government		\$23 \$14	\$16	\$39 \$35	
Agriculture	¢2 250	\$1 <b>\$1</b> 473	\$10 <b>\$760</b>	\$12 \$5 100	40.00/
Total Impact on Value Added	\$3,258	\$1,172	\$760	\$5,190	12.8%

## Appendix B: Data Sources and Methodology

This Appendix describes the methodology used to derive the results for the study. It first discusses the data sources PwC utilized to develop estimates of the onshore upstream subsector's direct employment, labor income, and value-added impacts and its dividend and capital investment impacts. It then describes the development of the indirect and induced impact estimates.

#### Estimating the Direct Jobs, Labor Income and Value Added

PwC's employment estimates for the upstream subsector of the US oil and natural gas industry include both full-time and part-time workers as well as self-employed business owners. The *State Annual Personal Income and Employment* data set published by the US Bureau of Economic Analysis ("BEA") is the only source on total employment including self-employed individuals by industry. In cases where there is a one-to-one correspondence between the subsectors of the oil and natural gas industry as defined by PwC and the BEA sectors, we use the BEA data on employment, labor income and GDP without any further adjustment. This is the case for NAICS 211 (Oil and gas extraction).

The other two NAICS codes that define the upstream subsector of the US oil and natural gas industry are more disaggregated compared to the BEA data. PwC obtained each NAICS sector's paid employment from the US Bureau of Labor Statistics ("BLS"), and then estimated total self-employment for the more aggregated industry using the BEA data and allocated across the two NAICS sectors according to each NAICS sector's share of paid employment. Specifically, self-employment was estimated for NAICS sector 213 (Support Activities for Mining) and then allocated across five subsectors including 213111 (Drilling Oil and Gas Wells) and 213112 (Support Activities for Oil and Gas Operations).

Direct employment was separately estimated for each of the 14 states studied. A similar methodology was used to estimate labor income and GDP.

In nine of the 14 states studied, the upstream subsector of the oil and natural gas industry includes onshore operations only, while the other five states include both onshore and offshore operations. These states are Alaska, Alabama, California, Louisiana, and Texas. For Alabama, California, Louisiana, and Texas, we relied on each state's daily onshore production volume of crude oil and natural gas in 2019 from IHS Markit and the 2019 prices for crude oil and natural gas from the Energy Information Administration (EIA) to estimate the direct output value of the onshore upstream subsector in the respective states. Similar production data for Alaska were obtained from Alaska's Department of Natural Resources to estimate the direct output value of the onshore upstream subsector in Alaska. The direct output of the subsector in each of the 14 state is then used to estimate the corresponding direct employment, direct labor income, and direct value added of the subsector based on the IMPLAN model.

#### **Estimating Capital Investment Impact**

To quantify the onshore upstream subsector's capital investment impact, PwC estimated its capital expenditures for 2019 based on data from the Census Bureau and the BEA. For the impact analysis, capital spending was then translated into purchases of capital assets by type through the use of the so-called "capital flow matrix" from the BEA.

#### **Estimating Dividend Impact**

PwC obtained data on common stock dividend payments by companies in the US oil and natural gas industry. Dividend payments were allocated between households, foreign shareholders, retirement plans, governments, and other businesses using data from the Federal Reserve Board's *Financial Accounts of the United States*. Dividends paid to households by the oil and natural gas industry were allocated by income group and across the 50 states and the District of Columbia using tax return data published by the IRS's Statistics of Income Division.

Dividends paid to pension plans and other retirement accounts were allocated across income groups based on data on retirement assets by income quintile obtained from the 2019 *Survey of Consumer Finances*, conducted by the Federal Reserve. The data were then allocated across the 50 states and the District of Columbia using data on the distribution of assets in 401(k) and thrift savings accounts from the *Survey of Income and Program Participation* conducted by the US Census Bureau. These data were combined with the data on dividends paid directly to households by the oil and natural gas industry to derive our estimates of total dividends paid by the industry to residents in each state.

To quantify the economic impact resulting from the dividend payments of the onshore upstream subsector of the US oil and natural gas industry, PwC first converted the industry's dividend payments into additional household consumption expenditures. For dividends paid directly to households, after-tax dividend income was estimated by income class based on average tax rates on dividend income. The additional consumption from dividends paid directly to households was estimated using published estimates of the marginal propensity to consume out of dividend income. Similarly, the additional consumption resulting from dividends paid to retirement accounts was estimated based on published estimates of the marginal propensity to consume out of wealth. Finally, a portion of the industry's dividend payout impacts was allocated to each state based on the share of the onshore upstream subsector relative to the oil and natural gas industry as a whole in each state.

#### **Estimating the Indirect and Induced Economic Impacts**

The initial round of output, income, and employment generated by the operations of the onshore upstream subsector of the US oil and natural gas industry leads to successive rounds of re-spending in the chain of production and through the personal consumption spending of industry and supplier employees. Such indirect and induced economic impacts can be measured using various approaches. The most common is multiplier analysis. In broad terms, a multiplier is an index that indicates the overall change in the level of economic activity that results from a

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The measure of dividends used includes cash dividends from all classes of common stock out of income from US operations by US corporations in the oil and natural gas industry. It also includes dividends paid to US shareholders out of income from US operations by foreign corporations in the industry. It does not include the dollar value of stock dividends or dividends paid or accrued on preferred stock.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The marginal propensity to consume out of dividend income is a measure of the additional consumption resulting from the last dollar of dividend income earned. The MPCs used for this study were based on Malcolm Baker, Stefan Nagel, and Jeffrey Wurgler, "The Effects of Dividends on Consumption" *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 2007, pgs. 213-291. Using two micro data sets the authors estimated pretax MPCs ranging from 0.25 to 0.77. Using the authors' midpoint estimate of 0.4, PwC estimated the after-tax MPC for each income group as the pre-tax MPC divided by one minus the marginal effective tax rate on dividend income.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> A review of the literature suggests that each additional dollar of financial wealth increases consumption between two and six cents. To be conservative we have assumed an MPC out of wealth of 0.028 for all income groups (based on Gabriel Chodorow-Reich, Plamen T. Nenov, and Alp Simsek, "Stock Market Wealth and the Real Economy: A Local Labor Market Approach," working paper, June 7, 2019).

given initial change. It effectively adds up all the successive rounds of re-spending, based on a number of assumptions that are embedded in the method of estimation.

There are different methods available for calculating multipliers. The method used in this report is *input-output* analysis. It is the most commonly used approach in regional economic impact studies. The input-output model developed by IMPLAN is a well-known input-output model for regional economic studies in the United States and is widely used by government, academics and private-sector researchers.<sup>9</sup>

The IMPLAN model is built around an "input-output" table that relates the purchases that each industry has made from other industries to the value of the output of each industry. To meet the demand for goods and services from an industry, purchases are made in other industries according to the patterns recorded in the input-output table. These purchases in turn spark still more purchases by the industry's suppliers, and so on. Additionally, employees and business owners make personal purchases out of the additional income that is generated by this process, sending new demands rippling through the economy. Multipliers describe these iterations. The Type I multiplier measures the direct and indirect effects of a change in economic activity. It captures the inter-industry effects only, i.e., industries buying from local industries. The Type II (Social Accounting Matrix or SAM) multiplier captures the direct and indirect effects and, in addition, it also reflects induced effects (i.e., changes in spending from households as income increases or decreases due to the changes in production). The indirect and induced impacts by the oil and natural gas industry on other sectors of the economy in terms of employment, labor income (including wages and salaries and benefits as well as proprietors' income), and value added were calculated through the multiplier process built into the model. 10

For this study, PwC built customized IMPLAN input-output models for the 14 states to calculate the onshore upstream subsector's *indirect* and *induced* economic impact on each study area in terms of employment, labor income, and value added. Cross-state spillover effects for each of the 14 states were also quantified. For example, if North Dakota's upstream subsector of the oil and natural gas industry buys intermediate inputs from a supplier located in a state outside of the selected 14 states (say Indiana), the indirect impact on Indiana is excluded from the current analysis, but if the said Indiana supplier then buys inputs from one of the 14 states (say California), then the indirect impact on California is included. Further, if the Indiana supplier's employees increase their purchase of gasoline, the effect on North Dakota is included.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> More information on IMPLAN is available at www.implan.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Because the IMPLAN models are used for total impact analysis (as opposed to marginal impact analysis) in this study, necessary adjustments are made to the initial indirect and induced impact estimates to prevent double counting. For instance, any indirect or induced effects from the estimates that are mapped to the onshore upstream subsector are removed.

This document has been prepared pursuant to an engagement between PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and its Client. As to all other parties, it is for general information purposes only, and should not be used as a substitute for consultation with professional advisors.
© 2021 PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. All rights reserved. PwC refers to the United States member firm, and may sometimes refer to the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity. Please see www.pwc.com/structure for further details.