



AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE

API Technical Report 6AF2

Technical Report on Capabilities of API Integral Flanges Under Combination of Loading—Phase II

FIFTH EDITION | APRIL 2013 | 119 PAGES | \$170.00 | PRODUCT NO. G6AF25

This technical report is the result of the evaluation of the load carrying capacity of API 6A integral flanges, including the end tension and bending moment in addition to the conventional rated pressure and makeup forces. The effect of a temperature difference corresponding to 250 °F on the inside and 30 °F on the outside is also evaluated. Three-dimensional finite element meshes are generated for the Type 6B, and Type 6BX flanges. The computer program SESAM is used to obtain the stresses at selected critical flange and hub sections and to determine the gasket reaction due to each of the four unit load cases and the temperature difference load case. The leakage criterion is defined as the load combination with reduces the initial makeup compressive forces in the gasket to zero. The stresses in each defined section are linearized in accordance with the ASME *Section VIII, Division 2*, procedure to determine the membrane and membrane-plus-bending stress intensities. The stress intensities are checked against the allowable conditions specified in Spec 6A.

For ordering information:

Online: www.api.org/pubs

Phone: 1-800-854-7179
(Toll-free in the U.S. and Canada)

(+1) 303-397-7056
(Local and International)

Fax: (+1) 303-397-2740

API members receive a 30% discount where applicable.

Contents

Page

1	Scope	1
2	Introduction	2
3	Three-dimensional Finite Element Analysis	3
3.1	Finite Element Modeling	3
3.2	Finite Element Results	11
4	Two-dimensional Heat Transfer Analysis	18
4.1	Finite Element Modeling	18
4.2	Temperature Analysis Results	18
5	Limiting Criteria for Combined Loading	21
5.1	Introduction	21
5.2	Leakage Criterion	21
5.3	Stress Criterion	23
5.4	Development of Load Combination Charts	25
5.5	Results	26
6	Reanalysis of Failed Flanges	31
7	Effect of Lockdown Screw Holes on Strength	31
8	Conclusion and Recommendations	38
	Annex A—Comparison Between Prac 86-21 and Prac 88-21 Results	40
	Annex B—Load Combination Charts	45
Tables		
1	Nodal Temperatures for Typical Type 6BX Flanges	20
2	Adopted Stress Criteria	23
3	Flanges That Did Not Meet Makeup-only Criterion, Makeup = 52.5 ksi.	33
4	Flange Geometry Modifications to Meet ASME Criterion for 52.5 ksi Makeup	33
5	Lockdown Screw Holes	33
6	Effect of Lockdown Screw Holes on Flange Stiffnesses	34
7	Effect of Lockdown Screw Holes on Flange Combined Load Capability	34
8	Modification Coefficients for Bending Capacity at Zero Tension and Zero Pressure	34
A.1	Comparison for Type 6B Flanges	41
A.2	Comparison for Type 6BX Flanges	42
B.1	Makeup Values for Flanges with Stress Criterion Governing at Least for Part of the Range of the Load Combination	45
Figures		
1	A Typical Finite Element Model of Flange and Bolt Superelements	4
2	Unit Load Cases	5
3	Superelemental Hierarchy	7
4	Gasket Modeling	8
5	Typical Lotus 1-2-3 Worksheet for Calculating Equivalent Stresses Due to Applied Forces	9
6	Equivalent Stresses Due to Applied Bending Moment	10
7	Deflected Shape Due to Unit Makeup (1.0 ksi)	11
8	Deflected Shape Due to Unit Pressure	12
9	Deflected Shape Due to Unit Tension (1.0 kip)	12
10	Deflected Shape Due to Bending Moment (1.0 K.in.)	13

11	Maximum Shear Stress Contours Due to Unit Makeup	13
12	Maximum Shear Stress Contours Due to Unit Pressure	14
13	Maximum Shear Stress Contours Due to Unit Tension	14
14	Maximum Shear Stress Contours Due to Unit Bending Moment	15
15	Von Mises's Equivalent Stress Contours for 2 1/16 in. 3,000 psi Type 6B Flange Under 52.5 ksi Makeup and 6,000 psi Test Pressure.	15
16	Bolt Stress (σ_z) Contours for Unit Makeup	16
17	Bolt Stress (σ_z) Contours for Unit Pressure	16
18	Bolt Stress (σ_z) Contours for Unit Tension	17
19	Bolt Stress (σ_z) Contours for Unit Bending Moment	17
20	Typical Heat Conduction 2-D Mesh for Type 6BX Flanges	19
21	Typical Leakage Load Combination Charts for 52.5 ksi and 40 ksi Makeup Loads	22
22	Stress Linearization.	24
23	Critical Section Locations	25
24	Comparison Between 2-D and 3-D Results for Leakage Criteria for 2 1/16 in. 3,000 psi 6B Flange	27
25	Comparison Between 2-D and 3-D Results for Leakage Criteria for 16 3/4 in. 3,000 psi 6B Flange	27
26	Stress Limiting Criteria for 2 1/16 in. 3,000 psi 6B Flange	28
27	Stress Limiting Criteria for 16 3/4 in. 3,000 psi 6B Flange	28
28	Leakage Criteria with Temperature Effect for 2 1/16 in. 3,000 psi 6B Flange	29
29	Leakage Criteria with Temperature Effect for 16 3/4 in. 3,000 psi 6B Flange	29
30	Stress Criterion with Temperature Effect for 2 1/16 in. 3,000 psi 6B Flange	30
31	Stress Criterion with Temperature Effect for 16 3/4 in. 3,000 psi 6B Flange	30
32	Bolt Stress Contours for 5 1/8 in. 10,000 psi Type 6BX Flange	32
33	Bolt Stress Contours for 11 in. 10,000 psi Type 6BX Flange	32
34	Finite Element Model of Flange with Lockdown Screw Holes	35
35	Effect of Lockdown Screw Holes on Leakage Charts	36
36	Effect of Lockdown Screw Holes on Stress Charts	37
A.1	Hopper Chart (from ASME <i>Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code</i> , Appendix 4)	44